

RECEIVED

NOV 13 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

PATENT
1209-0121P



IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Ulf LANDEGREN Conf.: 7960
Appl. No.: 08/981,310 Group: 1641
Filed: December 16, 1997 Examiner: V. PORTNER
For: ULTRASENSITIVE IMMUNOASSAYS

SMALL ENTITY TRANSMITTAL FORM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

November 5, 2002

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a petition in the above-identified application.

- ☒ Applicant claims small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27.
- ☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via the Certificate of Mailing provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.
- ☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via facsimile.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	11	-	20	=	0	\$ 9	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT	2	-	3	=	0	\$ 42	\$0.00
FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE CLAIM						\$140	\$0.00
						TOTAL	\$0.00

RECEIVED

NOV 07 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Appl. No. 08/981,310

- ☒ Petition for two (2) month(s) extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a) and the fee is submitted with the Appeal Brief filed concurrently herewith.
- ☐ No fee is required.
- ☒ Check(s) in the amount of \$130.00 is(are) enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. This form is submitted in triplicate.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By *maay*
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977

MaryAnne Armstrong, PhD., #40,069

GMM/MAA/csm
1209-0121P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)

(Rev. 09/19/02)

RECEIVED
NOV 07 2002
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

RECEIVED

NOV 07 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PATENT

1209-0121P

[Handwritten signature]

Conf: 7960

Group: 1641

Examiner: V. Portner

30
burda
11/19/02
tner

11/19/02

November 5, 2002

November 5, 2002

The present petition is respectfully submitted under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 and M.P.E.P. §2163.06 in connection with the above-identified application.

In an Amendment filed on May 15, 2002, Applicants amended the specification on page 3, to insert the following paragraph between the third and fourth paragraphs.

--The present invention encompasses immunological test kits wherein the affinity reagents are lectins, receptors, single chain antibodies, cofactors or nucleic acids.--

Support for the above amendment was based on claim 3 of the originally filed specification which recited.

An immunological test kit according to claim 1, characterized in that the affinity reagents are lectins, receptors, single chain antibodies, cofactors and nucleic acids.

Thus, the amendment to the specification presented a nearly identical recitation of original claim 3. The only

NOV 05 2002
OIPF
JCS8
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

[illegible]

notable difference from original claim 3, is the recitation of "lectins, receptors, single chain antibodies, cofactors or nucleic acids" rather than "lectins, receptors, single chain antibodies, cofactors and nucleic acids." However, given that the immunoassay only calls for three affinity reagents and claim 3 recited five possible affinity reagents, it would be readily evident that lectins, receptors, single chain antibodies, cofactors and nucleic acids could not all be simultaneously present at in the assay as the first through third affinity reagents. Applicants believe that change from "and" to "or" more clearly stated the evident intent of the claim 3 that the three affinity reagents may each individually be a lectin, receptor, single chain antibody, cofactor or nucleic acid.

In the Advisory Action of June 19, 2002, the Examiner refused to enter the above-amendment to the specification on the grounds that it constituted New Matter. Applicants petition the withdrawal of the Examiner's refusal to enter the amendment to the specification as New Matter.

Under M.P.E.P. §2163.06, an objection and requirement to delete new matter is subject to supervisory review by petition under 37 CFR 1.181. As such, Applicants believe the refusal to enter the amendment to be a properly petitionable matter.

As discussed above, the proposed amendment to the specification is supported by original claim 3. M.P.E.P. §2163.06 states,

The claims as filed in the original specification are part of the disclosure and therefore, if an application as originally filed contains a claim disclosing material not disclosed in the remainder of the specification, the applicant may amend the specification to include the claimed subject matter. *In re Benno*, 768 F.2d 1340, 226 USPQ 683 (Fed. Cir. 1985).


As such, it is not New Matter to amend the specification to incorporate claim 3. Withdrawal of the finding of New Matter is therefore respectfully requested.

The required petition fee of \$130.00 is attached hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and further replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: 
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.
Reg. No. 28,977

MaryAnne Armstrong, PhD
Reg. No. 40,069

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
703-205-8000

RECEIVED
NOV 07 2002
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

GMM/MAA/csm